

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11591 of 2017

1. Parmeshwar Manjhi Son of late Prasadi Manjhi Resident of Village, Village Gonar Bigaha, P.O. Dariyapur, Panchayat Hadsa, District- Nawada.
2. S.M. Sarfaraj Alam Son of S.N. Anisjumma Resident of Ansar Nagar, Paschimi, District- Nawada.
3. Zeba Firdousi Son of S.N. Anisjumma Resident of Ansar Budhiya Hoteli Gali, District- Nawada.
4. Rubi Kumari Daughter of Budhan Rajwanshi Resident of Village Kunj, P.O. Ohari, Panchayat Kunj, District- Nawada.
5. Sindhu Kumari Daughter of Sadwriksh Ram Resident of Village Ohari, P.S. Ohari, District- Nawada.
6. Md. Imran Son of Md. Muslim Resident of Village Marui, P.O. Marui, District- Nawada.
7. Shankar Kumar Son of late Aman Ram Resident of Village Sabda, P.O.- Dirmowara, District- Nawada.
8. Najiya Praveen Daughter of Naseemuddin Resident of Village Hiusa, P.O. Hiusa, District- Nawada.
9. Merajuddin @ Meraj Ahmad Son of Ahmad Mia Resident of Village Jhalakdiha, P.O. Safi, District- Nawada.
10. Najra Praveen Daughter of Md. Islam Resident of Kumbhyatri, P.O. Hardiya, District- Nawada.
11. Shabana Praveen Daughter of Amin Ahmad Resident of Village- Bhanekap, P.O. Hardiya, District- Nawada.
12. Asharfi Begum Daughter of Guljar Alam Resident of Village Islampur, P.O. Sekhodeswra, District- Nawada.
13. Irhsad Alam Son of Abdur Rajjak Resident of Village Islampur, P.O. Sekhodeswra, District- Nawada.
14. Md. Prawez Alam Son of late Md. Jamaluddin Resident of Village- Nasdena, P.O. Sarai, District- Nawada.
15. Rizwan Alam Son of Kurban Alam Resident of Village- Akbarpur, P.O. Rajhat, District- Nawada.
16. Md. Queyamuddin Son of Md. Elyas Resident of Village Mastanganj, Panchayat Akbarpur, District- Nawada.
17. Zahid Khatoon Daughter of Modassar Najar Resident of Village- Bairiya Bigaha, P.O. Chakhar, District Nawada.
18. Umesh Manjhi Son of Dukhi Manjhi Resident of Village Eruri, P.O. Eruri, District Nawada.
19. Geeta Kumari Daughter of Bundi Chaudhary Resident of Village Jhiktaura, P.O. Jhunathi, District- Nawada.
20. Zinnat Praveen Wife of Md. Hasim Resident of Village Bhadauni Shahtoli (Phulwarisharif), District Nawada.
21. Abda Tabassum Daughter of Md. Mujtaba Hasan Resident of Sai Tola, Minnat Nagar, Panchayat-Bhadauni, District- Nawada.
22. Zeba Praveen Daughter of Sohail Resident of Ariyan Mohalla, Ansari Tola, Panchayat Bhadauni, District- Nawada.
23. Praveen Khatoon Daughter of Md. Jahangir Khan Resident of Bari Dargah Par, District- Nawada.
24. Sadaf Abid Son of Abid Hussain Resident of Mohalla bari Dargah Par, District- Nawada.



25. Sangeeta Kumari wife of Santosh Chaudhary Resident of Village +P.O.-
Sirdala, District- Nawada.

26. Vikash Kumar S/o Sanjay Rajbanshi resident of Village-Ratur, P.S. Samdi, P.s.
Nardiganj, Distt Nawada.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education,
Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, New
Secretariat, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
4. The District Education Officer, Nawada.
5. The District Programme Officer, (Literacy), Nawada.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy No-1, SC13

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 17-04-2018

Heard Mr. Shailendra Kumar, learned counsel appearing
on behalf of the petitioners and the learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the respondents.

The petitioners have filed the present writ application for
a direction to the respondents to provide training to them according to
the guide-line formulated for the purpose of Tola Sevak/Talimi
Markaj Volunteer.

Mr. Kumar with reference to Annexures-5 to 8 submits
that the petitioners have acquired right and expectation for being sent
for training and, as such, the respondents may be directed to send the
petitioners for training.

Considering the totality of the facts situation and the



nature of engagement of petitioners, the Court does not find substance in the contention of the petitioners. However, liberty shall be available to the petitioners to pursue the remedy before the departmental authority including the Commissioner, which has granted indulgence to these petitioners in the past, so that the petitioners’ grievance may be redressed.

With the aforesaid liberty, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	21.04.2018
Transmission Date	

