

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9449 of 2016

=====

Vinita Kumari, wife of Sri Rana Pratap Singh, resident of village Belahi Khurd,
P.O. Belahi Jairam, P.S. Sahiyara, District Sitamarhi

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Collector, Sitamarhi
3. The District Programme Officer, Sitamarhi.
4. The Child Development Project Officer, Bathnaha, District Sitamarhi.
5. Rita Devi wife of Sri Samodh Sah, resident of village Belahi Khurd, P.O.
Belahi Jairam, P.S. Sahiyara, District Sitamarhi.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta, A.C. to G.P.10

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 20-08-2018

Heard Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta, A.C. to G.P.10.

The petitioner prays for a direction to the respondent
authorities for appointing her against the post of Anganbari Sevika,
Belahi Khurd, Anganbari Centre No. 9 Moudah Panchayat Ward No.
1, Bathnaha Block in the district of Sitamarhi. The short argument
advanced by Mr. Singh learned counsel for the petitioner to question
the selection process is that the mapping register has not been carried
out properly and thus the majority class has been incorrectly showed
as coming from the Extremely Backward Class.

Mr. Singh has fairly accepted that on the application filed
by the petitioner before the District Magistrate, Sitamarhi vide



Annexure-1 an enquiry was carried out and the position regarding the majority class confirmed.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note the circumstances where the grievance of the petitioner as regarding the status of the majority class has been enquired into under the supervision of the District Magistrate and whereafter it is confirmed that the majority class in the serving village comes from the extremely backward class and the petitioner belongs to general class, no occasion arises for directing a second enquiry on the issue raised.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	24.08.2018
Transmission Date	NA

