

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3059 of 2016

=====

1. Rinki Kumari, aged about 26 years, wife of Arun Kumar, resident of Village- Puraulia, P.S. Kaluahi, District- Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare, Development, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
3. The Senior Deputy Collector cum Deputy Collector Establishment, Madhubani.
4. The District Programme Officer, Madhubani.
5. The Prakhand Vikas Padadhikari, Kaluahi Prakhand, District-Madhubani.
6. The Child Development Programme Officer, Kaluahi, District-Madhubani.
7. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Puraulia, P.S. Kaluahi, District-Madhubani.
8. Indu Kumari, wife of Sri Ashok Kumar Saho resident of Village-Pursaulia, P.S. Kaluahi, District- Madhubani.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, G.A.9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2018 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the appointment of the private respondent.

Mr. Kumar Ravish learned A.C. to G.A.1 informed that the petitioner has alternative remedy before the District Programme Officer to challenge the selection of the private respondent but without exhausting the alternative remedy that she has rushed to this Court.



Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the alternative remedy so available to the petitioner, I am not persuaded to grant indulgence and the writ petition is disposed of affording liberty to the petitioner to exhaust the statutory remedy so available to her to question the appointment of the private respondent before the District Programme Officer who is stated to be the competent under the guidelines to dispose of any such grievance.

The writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Bibhash/-

U			
---	--	--	--

