

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4991 of 2018

Dr. Aditi Abhilasha Jayaswal Wife of Sri Amit Prabhakar , D/o of Sri Anil Kumar Jayaswal Resident of Flat No. 105, Niromani Apartment, Jhauwa Kothi, Choti Khanjarpur, Near Sadar S.D.O. quarter, P.S. Barari, Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Higher Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Higher Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur through its Registrar.
5. The Vice Chancellor, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur, District - Bhagalpur.
6. The Registrar, Tilka Manjhi Bhagalpur University, Bhagalpur.
7. The Principal, Sharda Jhunjhunul Mahila College, Bhagalpur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Parasmani, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Hari Mohan Mishra, AC to GP27
For the University	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 27-03-2018 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, State as well as T.M. Bhagalpur University.

The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is exclusion of the name of the petitioner from the select list notified vide notification No. 71 of 2017 dated 15.4.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is regularly working since 28.4.2005 and the selection committee constituted by the University in terms of Section 57A has considered the case of the petitioner and recommended her name for regularization but her name does not figure in the notification No. 71 of 2017 dated 15.4.2017. Counsel submits



that the petitioner has approached the Vice-Chancellor of the University by filing representation dated 24.11.2017 as contained in Annexure-11 but the same has not been addressed as yet. Counsel further submits that if the respondent-Vice Chancellor is directed to consider the case of the petitioner in the light of the recommendation of the selection committee constituted by the University, the grievance of the petitioner may be redressed.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-Vice Chancellor to examine the relevant record and if it is found that the petitioner has been regularly working since 28.4.2005 and the selection committee has recommended her name, appropriate remedial steps may be taken by the respondents. The final decision on the representation of the petitioner must be taken by the respondent-Vice Chancellor within a maximum period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

spandey/-

U			
---	--	--	--

