

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11832 of 2017

=====

Mukesh Kumar, son of Late Harenra Choudhary, Resident of Mohalla-
Soda Godown Chowk, Brhmpura, P.S.- Brhmpura, District- Muzaaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Muzaaffarpur.
3. The Commissioner, Tirhut Division, Muzaaffarpur.
4. The Regional Development Officer, Tirhut Division, Muzaaffarpur.
5. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Muzaaffarpur.
6. The District Education Officer, Muzaaffarpur.
7. The In-charge, Block Education Officer, Minapur, Muzaaffarpur.
8. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Tirhut Division,
Muzaaffarpur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amish Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Umesh Narayan Dubey, AC to GP- 27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 19-01-2018 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and the State.

Mr. Amish Kumar, learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the petitioner fairly submits that in view of subsequent
development, the present writ application has become infructuous,
as the petitioner has already challenged the subsequent
development i.e. the subsequent order by way of separate writ
petition.

In view of the above, the present writ application has
lost its utility and, as such, it is disposed of as infructuous.

It goes without saying that the subsequent order gave



rise to fresh cause of action and it is always for the petitioner to challenge the order subsequently passed by the respondents, which gave rise to fresh cause of action to the petitioner.

With the aforesaid, the present writ application is disposed of as infructuous.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

