

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8077 of 2017**

=====

Arvind Kumar Pandey, son of Jwala Pandey, resident of village-  
Narayanpur, Police Station- Sonhan, Post Office- Sonhan, District- Kaimur  
(Bhabhua). .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Kaimur.
5. The District Education Officer, Kaimur.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Kaimur.
7. The Block Education Officer, Usri Block, Kaimur.
8. The Block Development Officer-cum-Secretary, Usri Block, Kaimur.
9. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Unit, Usri Block, District- Kaimur.
10. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Unit, Usri Block, District- Kaimur.
11. The District Teacher Appointment Appellate Authority through its Secretary, Kaimur.

.... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar, AC to GP-20

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR**  
**UPADHYAY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      21-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and State.

A counter affidavit has been filed today on behalf of respondent nos. 5 to 7. In para 9 of the counter affidavit specific statements have been made by the respondent that the petitioner will be paid within a period of two months after completing all the formalities.

In view of the undertaking, the Court hopes and trusts that the respondents will comply their own understanding made in



para 9 of the counter affidavit.

Accordingly, the writ application is disposed of in view of the undertaking made in para 9 of the counter affidavit by the respondents. In case, the petitioner on receipt of payment is aggrieved by error in calculation or non-payment of any of his legitimate dues, liberty shall be available to the petitioner to file representation along with a copy of this order before the concerned respondent, who shall be under obligation to address the issue raised in the representation by a reasoned and speaking order within a maximum period of two months from the date of filing of such representation.

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

Uday/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

