

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.623 of 2018

=====

Chandramani Kumar @ Chandramani Yadav, Son of Babujan Yadav, resident of
At & P.O.- Alauli, P.S. Alauli, District Khagaria.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Food and Civil Supplies, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector-cum- District Magistrate, Khagaria.
3. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Khagaria, District- Khagaria.
4. The District Supply Officer, Khagaria, District Khagaria.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Priya Gupta, Adv

For the Respondent/s : Mr. ARVIND UJJWAL SC4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-02-2018

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been filed for quashing the
order contained in memo no. 345 dated 04.06.2011 passed by the Sub-
Divisional Officer, Khagaria and the appellate order dated 05.09.2017
in Supply. Appeal Case No. 07/11-12/06/2012 passed by the Collector.
Khagaria by which the licence of the petitioner's Fair Price shop
bearing no. 113/A of 2007 has been cancelled and monthly allotment
has been stopped; and further to restore the petitioner's licence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner makes a short
submission to assail the impugned order on the ground that a copy of



the enquiry report was not made available to the petitioner and he was never confronted with the same with an opportunity of being heard or adducing evidence in that regard. A specific stand has been taken in para 11 of the writ petition that the impugned order of cancellation of licence has been passed without providing a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner, though the same had been relied upon in the impugned order.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard.

5. In the above view of the matter, this Court is satisfied that non-supply of the enquiry report to the petitioner has resulted in violation of natural justice and thus the decision making process stands vitiated. The impugned order dated 04.06.2011 (Annexure-3) and the appellate order dated 05.09.2017 (Annexure-4) are hereby quashed and the matter remanded to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Khagaria (respondent no. 3) for taking decision afresh in the matter after supplying a copy of the enquiry report to the petitioner and granting an opportunity of hearing in accordance with law. Supplies to the petitioner shall be restored without delay until fresh orders are passed by the respondent no. 3.

6. It is made clear that in case the stand of the petitioner denying receipt of the enquiry report prior to order of cancellation being passed is found to be incorrect, the respondents shall be at



liberty to approach this Court for recall of this judgment.

7. The writ petition stands allowed as above.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/BT

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	24.02.2018
Transmission Date	NA

