

16# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2690/2015**

PRADEEP SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Pankaj Kumar and Ms.
Saroj Kumari, Advocates with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Represented by: Ms. Meenakshi Chauhan, APP
for the State with SI Gajender
Singh, PS Mahendra Park.
Wife of the petitioner in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

04.12.2017

%

1. By this petition the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 210/2015 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Mahindra Park, New Delhi on the complaint of one NGO Shri Ram Adhar Foundation regarding the grievance of the complainant Mona, wife of the petitioner.

2. In the FIR Mona alleged that her marriage was solemnised with the petitioner on 13th November, 2013 as per the Hindu Rites and Customs and the father gave gifts beyond his capacity however, in the matrimonial home from the first day itself the mother-in-law, father-in-law and the husband, the petitioner herein, started taunting Mona for bringing less dowry. The petitioner called her characterless also and used to abuse her by kicks and

BAIL APPLN. 2690/2015

Page 1 of 3

fist blows. It is alleged that the complainant was being mentally and physically tortured to bring an I-15 bike, cash of ₹5 lakhs and a gold set for the mother-in-law. It is also stated that the petitioner was forcing the complainant to carry out the abortion when she became pregnant and levelled false allegations that the complainant was harassing the petitioner.

3. Though it is alleged that the complainant was thrown by the petitioner out from the running scooter, this Court on a specific query to the learned APP is informed that no FIR on the said complaint was registered as the parties had then settled the matter.

4. Considering the nature of allegations and the fact that the petitioner without prejudice to the rights of the parties, to show his bona fide is willing to deposit a sum of ₹3 lakhs in the form of FDR in the name of the minor daughter Hiral Sharma, this Court deems it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. It is, therefore, directed that in the event of arrest the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/SHO concerned, further subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer and will not leave the country without permission of the Court concerned. The petitioner will abide by the undertaking given to this Court and will deposit a sum of ₹3 lakhs in the form of FDR in the name of the minor daughter Hiral Sharma within four weeks, with the wife of the petitioner Mona being the nominee and guardian who would be entitled to receive the interest amount every month for the upkeep of the child. The amount so deposited is without prejudice to the rights and contention of the

parties in the other pending litigations.

5. Petition is disposed of.

6. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

DECEMBER 04, 2017

‘vn’