

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RC.REV. 562/2015 & CM No.23780/2015 (for stay)
SUDHIR KUMAR Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Adv.

Versus

KUSUM LATA Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **06.09.2017**

1. The counsel for the petitioner has mentioned the matter in the absence of the counsel for the respondent.
2. The counsel for the petitioner states that he withdraws this petition since the petitioner / tenant has already handed over possession of the premises from which the petitioner / tenant has been ordered to be evicted to the respondent.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
4. Needless to state that with the withdrawal of the petition, notwithstanding the statement aforesaid, the interim order stands vacated.

No costs.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

SEPTEMBER 06, 2017

‘gsr’..