

24# \$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2657/2017**

**MAJ.(RETD)SURENDER KUMARI HOODA** ..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. N. Hariharan, Sr. Advocate  
with Mr. Stuti Gujral, Ms.  
Jhanvi Dubey and Mr. Ritwick  
Srivastav, Advocates.

versus

**STATE THOURGH PS EOW, MANDIR MARG** ..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Amit Gupta, APP for the  
State with Inspector  
Dharmendra Kumar Singh, PS  
EOW.  
Mr. Satya Prakash, Advocate  
for the complainant.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

**ORDER**

%

**22.12.2017**

**Crl. M.A. Nos. 21442-43/2017 (Exemption)**

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

**BAIL APPLN. 2657/2017 and Crl. M.B. No. 2270/2017 (interim bail)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the application for the reason that the learned Trial Court fell in error noting that it was deciding an anticipatory bail application though the petition before the learned Additional Sessions Judge was for grant of regular bail. He further states that after the passing of the order dated 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 charge sheet has since been filed.

***BAIL APPLN. 2657/2017***

***Page 1 of 2***

Learned APP for the State countering the argument of learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner states that in the order the Additional Sessions Judge clearly noted that he was considering the case for grant of regular bail.

Bail application and application for interim bail are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh application before the learned Trial Court.

Order dasti.

**MUKTA GUPTA, J.**

**DECEMBER 22, 2017**  
**‘vn’**