

\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **BAIL APPLN. 2632/2017 & CRL.M.A. 21214/2017**
VISHA Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vinay Kumar Sharma, Adv.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI Respondent
Through: Mr. Ashish Dutta, APP for State
with ASI Darshna, PS Inder Puri.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA

ORDER
% **21.12.2017**

CRL.M.A. 21214/2017 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

BAIL APPLN. 2632/2017

On behalf of the applicant it has been submitted that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the instant case and that the FIR has been lodged with much delay and that the statement of the prosecutrix under Section 164 of Cr.PC, 1973 also does not implicate the applicant.

On behalf of the State, the application is opposed *inter alia* submitting to the effect that the prosecutrix has also been compelled to undergo abortions thrice.

Without adverting to the said aspect, taking into account the statement of the prosecutrix under Section 164 of Cr.PC, 1973 dated

01.11.2017 where she asserts that she had got the FIR lodged on 31.10.2017 as the petitioner was getting married, she having been offended due to the same, got the FIR lodged and taking into account the factum that the petitioner has also been married as also stated in the statement under Section 164 of Cr.PC of the prosecutrix and taking into account that there are no previous adverse antecedents against the applicant, the applicant in the event of arrest, is allowed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court subject to the conditions that he shall not leave the country, shall not contact the complainant and her family, shall not intimidate the witnesses and shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner.

ANU MALHOTRA, J

DECEMBER 21, 2017

vm