

\$~52

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 570/2017 and CM 45615/2017**

CHANDU LAL & ANR

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr. Himanshu Bajaj, Mr. Rajesh Kumar
and Mr. Sanjay Jain, Advocates

versus

RAM RATTAN

..... Respondent

Through

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K.GAUBA

ORDER

%

15.12.2017

After some hearing the counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition and the application filed therewith as new material in the nature of documents has surfaced, post the impugned decision, indicating that two rooms were not part of one composite tenancy but separate tenancies were created in their respect, which could not be presented earlier before the Rent Controller inspite of due diligence, the petitioners seeking to approach the said court in review.

The petition and the application filed therewith are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file review application.

R.K.GAUBA, J

DECEMBER 15, 2017

yg