

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3435/2017**

MOHD IMRAN & ORS

..... Petitioners

Through: Mr.Ghanshyam Singh, Advocate with
Petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR Respondents

Through: Mr.Jamal Akhtar, Advocate for
Mr.Rahul Mehra, Std. Counsel for the
State with SI Ashwani Kumar,
PS Jyoti Nagar
Ms.Arпита Sharma, Advocate for R-2
with R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATIBHA RANI

ORDER

%

08.12.2017

1. By way of this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, read with Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR No.460/2016, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Sections 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at PS Jyoti Nagar.

2. Briefly stating the facts of the present case are that Respondent No.2 got married to Petitioner No.1 on 29th April, 2013 according to Muslim rites and ceremonies. It is further mentioned in the petition that the Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 could not live together due to temperamental differences between them. Respondent No.2 filed a criminal complaint against the petitioners which resulted into registration of FIR in question. It is mentioned in the petition that during the pendency of above proceedings,

W.P.(CRL) 3435/2017

page 1 of 2

the parties have amicably settled their dispute and entered into settlement deed dated 3rd August, 2017 and have started living together happily with their daughter. Copy of the settlement is attached along with the petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that as the petitioners and respondent No.2 have amicably settled all their disputes and now they are living together. Hence, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending. He requests that the FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom may be quashed.

4. Respondent No.2 is present in the Court today and confirms the factum of amicable settlement with the petitioners. She confirms that she has started living with the petitioner No.1. She submits that she does not wish to continue criminal proceedings against the petitioners and has no objection if the FIR in question and all consequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

5. In view of the settlement between the parties, I am of the considered view that no useful purpose would be served by continuing with the FIR/criminal proceedings against the petitioners, which will only be an exercise in futility and wastage of precious time of the Court.

6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.460/2016, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and Sections 3/4 Dowry Prohibition Act, registered at PS Jyoti Nagar.and all the proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Order *dasti*.

PRATIBHA RANI, J.

DECEMBER 08, 2017/ 'hkaur'

W.P.(CRL) 3435/2017

page 1 of 2