

\$~37-46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO (OS) 305/2017, CM APPL.44188-44190/2017**
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus
ACTION SHOES PVT LTD & ANR Respondents

FAO (OS) 306/2017, CM APPL.44191-44193/2017
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus
BATA INDIA LTD Respondent

FAO (OS) (COMM) 206/2017, CM APPL.44164-44166/2017
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus
LIBERTY SHOES LTD & ANR Respondents

FAO (OS) (COMM) 207/2017, CM APPL.44167-44169
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus
LIBERTY SHOES LTD & ORS Respondents

FAO (OS) (COMM) 208/2017, CM APPL.44170-44172/2017
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus
RELAXO FOOTWEAR LTD Respondent

FAO (OS) (COMM) 209/2017, CM APPL.44173-44175/2017
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus
BATA INDIA LTD Respondent

FAO (OS) (COMM) 210/2017, CM APPL.44176-44178/2017
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus
RELAXO FOOTWEAR LTD Respondent

FAO (OS) (COMM) 211/2017, CM APPL.44179-44181/2017
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus

BIOWORLD MERCHANDISING INDIA LTD & ANR Respondents

FAO (OS) (COMM) 212/2017, CM APPL.44182-44184/2017
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus

BIOWORLD MERCHANDISING INDIA LTD & ANR Respondents

FAO (OS) (COMM) 213/2017, CM APPL.44185-44187/2017
CROCS INC USA Appellant

versus

ACTION SHOES PVT LTD & ORS Respondents

Appearance: Mr. Ravinder Sethi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. S.K. Bansal, Mr. Ajay Amitabh Suman, Mr. Pankaj Kumar and Mr. Kapil Kumar Giri, Advocates for appellants, in all matters.

Mr. Neeraj Grover with Ms. Kanika Bajaj and Mr. Mehak Nakara, Advocates for respondents/Bata in Item-38&42.

Mr. Jayant Mehta with Mr. Kapil Wadhwa, Ms. Devyani Nath and Mr. Shubhankar, Advocates for respondents in Items-39&40.

Mr. Kapil Wadhwa and Ms. Devyani Nath, Advocates for respondents in Items-37&46.

Mr. Anil Dutt with Ms. Vindhya S. Mani, Advocates for R-1 in Items-44&45.

Mr. M.K. Miglani with Mr. Gaurav Miglani, Advocates for respondents in Items-41&43.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV SACHDEVA

ORDER

05.12.2017

%

This Court is of the opinion that the orders in this case have not determined the respective rights and the liabilities of the parties. In

these circumstances, learned counsel seeks liberty to withdraw these appeals and approach the Court as and when any order on the merits of the applications under Order-XXXIX Rule-1&2 and Order-XXXIX Rule-4, CPC are made. It goes without saying that all rights and contentions of the parties to urge the submissions that are available in law are kept open. The appeals are dismissed as withdrawn, along with all the pending applications.

A copy of this order be given under the signatures of Court Master to the parties.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

SANJEEV SACHDEVA, J

DECEMBER 05, 2017
/vikas/