

\$~1

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10622/2017**

**SUREKHA**

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms.Saahila Lamba with Mr.T.S.  
Dagar, Advocate.

versus

**UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.**

..... Respondents

Through: Ms.Madhulika Agarwal for Mr.J.K.  
Singh, Advocate.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI**

**ORDER**

% **07.12.2017**

1. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought an adjournment to enable her to examine as to whether the District Panchayat Body can be treated as a local authority within the meaning of Rule 5(4) of the Railway Services (Conduct) Rules, 1966.
2. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner states that she has examined the issue and concedes that the law is not in favour of the petitioner as far as treating the District Panchayat Body as a local authority is concerned. She further states, on instructions, that the petitioner does not wish to pursue the present petition. Instead, she proposes to file a revision petition under Rule 219 of the Railway Protection Force Act, 1957 and confine the relief therein to the proportionality of the punishment awarded to her.

3. In view of the submission made above, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed while reserving the right of the petitioner to seek legal recourse by filing a revision petition under Rule 219 of the Railway Protection Force Act, 1957.
4. The petition is disposed of.

**HIMA KOHLI, J**

**REKHA PALLI, J**

**DECEMBER 07, 2017**  
gm