

\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 894/2017

HARDEV SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Vineet Chadhar, Advocate with petitioner in person.

versus

SATNAM SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Birender Bhatt, Advocate with respondent No.2/complainant in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL

ORDER

%

01.12.2017

The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner assailing the judgment dated 18.08.2017 passed by the Special judge (CBI) (South) District Courts, Saket, whereby the judgment of conviction dated 16.08.2016 and order on sentence dated 23.08.2016 passed by the Metropolitan Magistrate-1, (NI Act), was upheld.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a settlement/compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

Respondent No.2 is present in Court today and is identified by the learned counsel. He states that he has settled the matter with the petitioner with his own free will and choice without any threat, pressure and coercion and she does not wish to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Respondent No.2 further submits that today, he received a sum of Rs.4,50,000/- from the petitioner towards compensation as directed by the Trial Court through one Bankers Cheque No.617552 dated 25.09.2017 for

Rs.2,50,000/- drawn on SBI, Rajouri Garden, New Delhi and another Demand Draft No. 500195 dated 25.09.2017 for Rs.2,00,000/- drawn on ICICI Bank, New Friends Colony, New Delhi, to the respondent No. 2/complainant. Further, he also received Rs.50,000/- in cash from the respondent No. 2/complainant. He further submits that he has no objection if the petitioner is acquitted in the aforementioned case.

Statements of the parties have been recorded separately.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences voluntarily out of their own free will and without any coercion, pressure, and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings. It would be in the interest of justice to acquit the petitioner.

The judgment assailed in the present revision petitions is set aside and the petitioner is acquitted.

The revision petition is hereby allowed and disposed of in terms of the above.

Crl.M.B. No.2098/2017 (suspension of sentence).

In view of the aforesaid order, no order is required to be passed in the instant application.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signatures of Court Master.

SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

DECEMBER 01, 2017
afa