

\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 770/2017, CM No. 41259/2017**
BAL CHAND GUPTA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

versus

V K GAUTAM

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Sri Harsha Peechara, Standing
Counsel for NDMC with Ms. Vidhi
Jain, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SISTANI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. KAMESWAR RAO

ORDER
% **22.11.2017**

CM. No. 41259/2017 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 770/2017

Counsel for the petitioner submits that as the permission letter dated November 17, 2017 has been received, he has been instructed, not to press the contempt petition. However, he submits as per clause 4 of the letter, the permission is only from sunrise to sunset, which condition is at variance with the scheme approved by the Supreme Court of India, which contemplates market times are to be observed.

Mr. Sri Harsha Peechara submits that appropriate instructions would be issued.

Accordingly, the contempt petition is dismissed as not pressed.

G.S.SISTANI, J

V. KAMESWAR RAO, J

NOVEMBER 22, 2017/aky