

\$~109

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 10042/2017 & CM 40974/2017**
SUKHDEEP BHOGAL AND ANR. Petitioner

Through Mr Sandeep Bist, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR. Respondent

Through Mr Sanjay Jain, ASG with Ms Ripu

Daman Bhardwaj, CGSC with Mr T.p. Singh.

Mr Dev P. B hardwaj, CGSC for UOI.

Mr Sanjay Shorey, Joint Direrctor Legal Ministry

of Corporate Affairs and Sh Raesh K. Tiwari,

ROC, NCT of Delhi & Haryana MCA for UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

ORDER

%

20.12.2017

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition, *inter alia*, impugning a “lists of disqualified directors” published by respondent nos. 1 and 2 to the extent that it includes the names of the petitioners.
2. The petitioners claim that they are the Directors of a private company named M/s Creative Medlafusion Digital Pvt. Ltd., (hereafter ‘the Company’). The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners unequivocally states that the Company has not carried out any business and has not operated its bank accounts since past three years. The petitioners also did not file the requisite returns as required under the Companies Act, 2013 (hereafter ‘the Act’). Consequently, the petitioners have incurred the disqualification under Section 164(2) of the Act.
3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners makes an unequivocal statement, on instruction of the petitioners, that the petitioners

are desirous of availing of the Condonation of Delay Scheme -2018 (hereafter 'CODS - 2018'). However, since the Company has been struck off from the Register of Companies, they have been disabled from availing the benefits of CODS - 2018.

4. The petitioners are also not in a position to seek revival of the Company by filing an appeal under Section 252 of the Act as, admittedly, the Company has not carried out any business and was liable to be struck off from the Register. The petitioners state that, in fact, they would voluntarily seek dissolution of the Company under Section 248(2) of the Act, if they are given an opportunity to do so.

5. This Court is of the view that since the Company is not carrying out any business and its bank account has not been operated for over three years, the petitioners ought to be provided the benefit of the CODS - 2018. Accordingly, this Court directs as under:-

- (a) The petitioners may file all the requisite returns in relation to the Company to avail the CODS - 2018.
- (b) The petitioners may also file the necessary resolutions and documents for voluntarily striking off the name of the Company as required under Section 248(2) of the Act.
- (c) The petitioners would also make a necessary application under CODS - 2018 along with the requisite charges.
- (d) The aforesaid documents and applications will not be submitted online but in hardcopies to the Registrar of Companies.

6. The Registrar shall scrutinize the same, and if the same are found to be otherwise in accordance with Section 248(2) of the Act, the petitioners would be granted the benefit of the CODS - 2018. The removal of the Company from the Register under Section 248(1) of the Act would be deemed to be under Section 248(2) of the Act, and the petitioner's application under CODS - 2018 would be sympathetically considered by the Registrar.

7. Since an unequivocal statement is made by the petitioners that they would pay the necessary charges and make the necessary application under the CODS - 2018, the impugned list of the disqualified directors, in as much as it includes the name of the directors, is stayed till 31.03.2018 or up till such time as the respondents take a final decision on the matter.

8. This order has been passed with due assistance of the learned ASG, in the peculiar facts and circumstances of these cases.

9. It is further clarified that the aforesaid order is made on the basis of the unequivocal statements made on behalf of the petitioners above and in the event the statements are found to be incorrect, the petitioners would be liable to be proceeded against contempt of court in addition to being subjected to other proceedings.

11. The petition and the pending application are disposed of.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

DECEMBER 20, 2017

pkv