

\$~1

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 10040/2017

OM PRAKASH SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Ajay K. Jain, Ms. Indrani
Mukherjee, Mr. Yash Karan Jain,
Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.

..... Respondents

Through : Mr. Vinod Diwakar, CGSC with
Mr. Sanjay Pal, Mr. Akshaya Agarwal,
Advocate for R1.
Mr. Mehak Kanwar, Advocate for
Resolution Professional/Ms. Ritu
Rastogi/R2.
Mr. Mohit Taneja, Advocate for
Mr. Karan Khanna, Standing Counsel
for R3.
Mr. Utkarsh Tewari, Advocate for
R4.
Mr. Vivek Jain, Mr. Sunil Dalal,
Advocates for R5.
Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate for R6
& R12.
Ms. Simran Brar, Mr. Parinay T.
Vasandani, Advocates for R8.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

%

21.11.2017

CM APPL. Nos. 40959/2017 & 40962/2017 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. These applications are

accordingly allowed.

W.P.(C) 10040/2017 & CM APPL. 40958/2017 (Stay)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a workman and is concerned about his engagement/job.

After some hearing, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to withdraw the present writ petition and states that he would approach the National Company Law Tribunal ('NCLT') in the liquidation proceedings under Chapter III and other parts of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016.

If any application is filed by the petitioner and/or other workmen, the same would be dealt with and considered in accordance with law.

We also clarify that it will be also open to the respondents to raise all the contentions and issues as per law.

Accordingly, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

We once clarify that we have not expressed any opinion on merits.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

NOVEMBER 21, 2017

j