

\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10572/2017 and CM APPL. 43285/2017

SMT. KAUSHALAYA AHUJA AND ORS Petitioners
Through Mr. Virender K. Kalra, Adv.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY Respondent
Through Mr. Arjun Mahajan, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. K. CHAWLA

ORDER

% **28.11.2017**

Instant petition has come to be filed seeking issuance of a Writ of Mandamus to not to proceed further on the notices issued to the petitioner under Sections 30(1) & 31(a) DDA Act.

In sum and the substance, the petitioner proceeds on the premise that on the notices/orders, the respondent is insisting for taking possession of the subject property, while no action is being taken with regard to others similarly placed, as the petitioners. In other words, arbitrariness in the action is strenuously contended.

Without getting into the merits of the petition, the petition is disposed off with the direction to the competent authority of respondent-DDA to treat the instant petition of the petitioner as a representation, to be decided within eight weeks by a speaking order, giving an opportunity of hearing, if, called for and communicating the

same to the petitioner immediately thereafter. Petition and the pending application stand disposed off accordingly.

Dasti under the signatures of the Court Master.

A. K. CHAWLA, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2017

rc