

\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 10086/2017 & CMs 41206-07/17
FUSA RAM Petitioner
Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate

versus

EMPLOYEE STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION AND ANR.
..... Respondents
Through: Mr. Murari Kumar, Advocate

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

% **ORDER**
15.11.2017

At the outset, learned counsel for respondents raises a jurisdictional issue by pointing out that in view of Section 14 of *the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985*, this petition is not maintainable before this Court and the remedy available to petitioner is to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal, Delhi. Aforesaid position is rightly not contested by petitioner's counsel.

In view of aforesaid, this petition is disposed of while relegating petitioner to avail of the remedies before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Delhi.

With aforesaid direction, this petition and the applications are disposed of.

(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 15, 2017

S