

\$~30

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10118/2017

ANIL GAUTAM

..... Petitioner

Through : None.

versus

THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER SOUTH DELHI
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION AND ORS.... Respondents

Through : Ms. Anju Gupta and Mr.
R.L. Goel, Advs. for R-&2.
Mr. Gautam Narayan, ASC
with Mr. R.A. Iyer, Adv. and
S.I. Rajender Singh, PS
Malviya Nagar.
Mr. Rajesh Gupta and
Mr. Harpreet Singh, Advs.
for R-8.
R-9 in person.
Mr. Tarun, Adv. for R-10.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

% **04.12.2017**

1. Notice issued for the service of respondent nos.4 to 7 has been returned unserved with the report that they were not residing at the given address.

2. Mr. Harpreet Singh, Advocate enters appearance on behalf of respondent no.8 and submits that respondent no.8 has a approved sanctioned plan and is constructing as per the sanctioned plan.
3. Needless to say, we have only directed the respondents to ensure compliance with the directions.
4. Respondent no.9 is present in person.
5. We are informed that the petitioner had not participated in the inspection directed by the court on the last date of hearing.
6. It is 4:30 pm. Neither the petitioner is present nor is represented.
7. It appears that the petitioner is not interested in prosecution of the writ petition. The same is dismissed in default.

ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

C.HARI SHANKAR, J

DECEMBER 04, 2017
mk