

\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 494/2017 & CM No.39123/2017 (for stay)

NIRMALA DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. V.K. Garg, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Noopur Dubey & Mr. Rakesh K.
Khare, Advs.

Versus

KAMLA GUPTA

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

31.10.2017

1. This Rent Control Revision Petition under Section 25B(8) of the Delhi Rent Control Act, 1958 impugns the order [dated 25th September, 2017 in E No.584/2017 (Old E. No.496/2017) of the Court of Additional Rent Controller (ARC), Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi] allowing the application of the respondent / landlord under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) for correction of certain typographical errors in the petition for eviction.
2. Vide the said order, the respondent / landlord was permitted to change the title of the petition for eviction from that mentioned as under Section 14(1)(D) of the Act to that under Section 14(1)(e) of the Act.
3. The senior counsel for the petitioner/tenant, after full arguments, under instructions, does not press this petition with liberty to take grounds as urged herein, in the remedy if any preferred, if remains aggrieved from the order to be passed on the application filed by petitioner for leave to defend the petition for eviction.

4. Needless to state that during the course of hearing of the application for leave to defend, all legal pleas available to the petitioner shall remain open to the petitioner.
5. Dismissed as not pressed with liberty aforesaid.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

OCTOBER 31, 2017
'gsr'..