

\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2189/2017**

ROSHAN RAM

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kundan Kumar with Mr. Prashant
Chakravarty, Advs.

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Ravi Nayak, APP for State with SI
Sunil Chandra, P.S. Tilak Nagar.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

%

01.11.2017

CrI.M.A. 17728/2017 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed off.

BAIL APPLN. 2189/2017

Notice. Mr. Ravi Nayak accepts notice.

The learned counsel for the respondent refers to the statement of the prosecutrix under Section 164 Cr.P.C., which has been perused. On the face of it, there is no specific allegation of physical violence or of an offence under Section 176 IPC. She clearly states in her statement that she had filed the complaint in order to extort money.

The learned counsel for the petitioner refers to an earlier complaint made by him apropos the prosecutrix with respect to the loss and pilferage of material possessions from his house. He states that the respondents have filed the present complaint as a vengeful retaliation.

In the aforesaid circumstances, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Court concerned.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the IO as and when called by the I.O. and shall make no attempt, directly or indirectly, to contact the complainant or the relatives of the complainant as well as close associates of the complainant.

Any observation made in this order shall have no bearing on the merits of the case.

The petition is disposed off.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

NOVEMBER 01, 2017/acm