

\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2176/2017**

SURINDER PAL

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.M. Pandey, Ms. Sadhna
Somwanshi, Mr. B. Verma, Ms.
Nisha Gaur, Ms. Shivani Chaturvedi
and Ms. Sushmita, Advs.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Manjeet Arya, APP for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

30.11.2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is husband of complainant. Complainant has alleged in the FIR that after the marriage petitioner and his relatives used to beat her. They used to demand of ₹10 lacs from her. Petitioner was having illicit relations with his sister-in-law. On 4th October, 2017 at about 05:30 pm she told the petitioner to give her money at which petitioner abused and beat her up. Petitioner also poured kerosene oil on her and started searching for match box. In the meanwhile, complainant ran away from the spot and went to her parents' house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that complainant has not sustained any injury. Petitioner has been falsely implicated. Conduct of the

complainant is unnatural. Instead of calling police she went to her parents' house. Petitioner is no more required for any investigation. Petitioner is in custody for the last two months and may be released on bail.

Learned APP submits that investigation is complete and charge-sheet is likely to be filed within one week. She has opposed the grant of bail to petitioner. She contends that allegations are serious in nature. However, it has not been disputed that complainant had not sustained any injury.

Keeping in mind the facts and circumstances of this case, petitioner is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms.

Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

NOVEMBER 30, 2017

ga