

\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8792/2017 & C.M. Nos.35961-35962/2017**

MANVI SHARMA Petitioner

Through: **Mr.Samir Sagar Vashisht, Adv.**

versus

GNCT OF DELHI & ORS Respondents

Through: **Mr.Sanjoy Ghose, Adv. for R-1 & 2.**
Ms.P. Kaur, Adv. for North Delhi
Municipal Corporation.
Mr.Rakesh Dudeja, Adv. with
Mr.Anshul Grover, Adv. & Mr.P.
Grover, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

ORDER

27.09.2017

%

The Petitioner has preferred the present petition to assail the order dated 19th September, 2017 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi in O.A. No.3307/2017 whereby her Original Application has been dismissed. The Petitioner had preferred the said Original Application to seek age relaxation in respect of the advertisement issued by the Respondents for recruiting Teacher (Primary) in the Respondents/Departments. The prescribed age limit in the advertisement was 30 years which the Petitioner has crossed. Similarly situated persons had approached the Tribunal for grant of age relaxation and by way of interim orders, they were permitted to apply for the post. The Petitioner was, however, not granted the same relief on account of the fact that she had

approached the Tribunal after the last date i.e. 15th September, 2017, notified for receipt of applications had expired. The Tribunal has, accordingly, dismissed the Original Application.

We do not find any merit in the present petition. If the Petitioner had approached the Tribunal before the cut off date, the Petitioner would have been given the same treatment of permitting her to submit her candidature provisionally. However, since the Petitioner missed the bus, there was no question of the Tribunal extending the cut off date fixed by the Respondents. This is for the reason that once such a relaxation in cut off date is made in one case, there is no question of not relaxing the cut off date, for other candidates in future. The adoption of such a course of action would completely upset the schedule that the recruiting agency may have devised and it would upset the entire recruitment process.

In view of the aforesaid, the Tribunal, in our view, correctly dismissed the Original Application.

The present petition is dismissed in the above terms.

C.M. Nos.35961-35962/2017

In view of the writ petition having been dismissed, these applications do not survive for adjudication and are dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

REKHA PALLI, J

SEPTEMBER 27, 2017/aa