

\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RC.REV. 454/2017 & CM No.36276/2017 (for stay)

CHARANJEET THUKRAL

..... Petitioner

Through: None.

Versus

SURINDER SINGH OBEROI

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Ajay Kumar Gupta, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

02.11.2017

1. This order is in continuation of the earlier order dated 10th October, 2017.
2. Notice ordered to be issued to the respondent is reported to be served and the counsel for the respondent appears.
3. None appears for the petitioner.
4. The counsel for the respondent states that the respondent, in execution of the order of eviction impugned in this petition, has already recovered possession.
5. It appears that the petitioner neither wants to pay the compensation as directed in the order dated 10th October, 2017 nor wants to pursue this petition.
6. Be that as it may, dismissed in default.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.

NOVEMBER 02, 2017/bs..