

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 8729/2017**

SAHEB EXPORTS

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Rajesh Jain, Advocate.

Versus

COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES

..... Respondent

Through Mr. Rahul Sharma and Mr. C.K. Bhatt, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

% **08.12.2017**

Counsel for the respondent states that verification of the refund amount has been made and the amount would be paid within two weeks.

We take the statement on record and dispose of the present writ petition. In case there is a default or delay, it will be open to the petitioner to file an application for revival of the writ petition. We clarify that we have not issued any specific direction or made any observation on the refund or the quantum of refund.

The respondent would also examine the question of interest and communicate their decision to the petitioner. If aggrieved, it will be open to the petitioner to challenge the decision in accordance with law.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

DECEMBER 08, 2017/NA

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.