

\$~26

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 10018/2017

**SHAKTI TAR UDYOG**

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Vineet Bhatia and Mr.  
Chanderkant Singh, Advocates.

versus

**COMMISSIONER OF TRADE & TAXES**

..... Respondent

Through Mr. Gurusharan Singh, Advocate with  
Ms. Trisha Singh, LA and Mr. Om Prakash,  
Assistant Commissioner, VAT.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH**

**ORDER**

%

**13.11.2017**

Counsel for the respondent, who is present on advance notice, states that notice under Section 59 of the Delhi Value Added Tax, 2004 dated 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2017 has been issued, requiring the petitioner to file documents.

The petitioner was asked to check from his portal whether the said notice was issued.

On the second call, counsel for the petitioner accepts that the notice under Section 59 dated 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2017 is available on the portal of the assessee.

In these circumstances, counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to withdraw the writ petition.

The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

Counsel for the petitioner states that an authorized representative of the petitioner would appear before the officer concerned on 29<sup>th</sup> November, along with relevant documents and papers.

The authorized representative of the petitioner would be then informed if there is any deficiency, which would be removed within 10 days.

We hope and trust that the respondents would dispose of the matter expeditiously and preferably within 10 days from the date documents are submitted.

**SANJIV KHANNA, J**

**PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J**

**NOVEMBER 13, 2017**  
**NA**