

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 925/2017 and C.M. Nos.39671/2017 (stay), 39672/2017 (exemption) & 39673/2017 (for condonation of delay)

KOSHAL RANI

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Kumar Mukesh, Advocate.

versus

BABU LAL & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Brajesh Kumar, Advocate for
respondent Nos.3 and 4.
Mr. Sumit K. Batra, Advocate for
respondent No.5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **03.11.2017**

1. After arguments, this appeal is disposed of as not pressed inasmuch as trial court by the impugned judgment has only asked the Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD) to proceed in accordance with law and therefore once the MCD proceeds in accordance with law, then, the rights of the appellant would not be affected.

2. I may note that counsel for the appellant states that appellant has already filed an appeal before the MCD Tribunal with respect to subject

RFA No.925/2017

page 1 of 2

construction and the MCD Tribunal will decide the appeal in accordance with law as this Court has made no observations one way or the other on merits of the matter.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

NOVEMBER 03, 2017
Ne