

\$~28&29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CM(M) 1065/2017 & CM No.34843/2017 (for stay)

NAHATA TRADERS & BUILDERS PVT LTD..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vipin K. Singh, Adv.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj & Ms.

Sushila Narang, Adv. for R-1 to 3.

AND

+ CM(M) 1066/2017 & CM No.34845/2017 (for stay)

M/S NAHATA GROUP OF BUILDERS AND

FINANCE PVT LTD

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vipin K. Singh, Adv.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ripudaman Bhardwaj & Ms.

Sushila Narang, Adv. for R-1 to 3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

%

22.09.2017

CM No.34842/2017 in CM(M) No.1065/2017 & CM No.34844/2017 in CM (M) No.1066/2017 (both for exemptions)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

2. The applications are disposed of.

CM(M) 1065/2017 & CM(M) 1066/2017

3. These petitions both under Article 227 of the Constitution of India impugn the identical orders [both dated 22nd August, 2017 in Civil Suit No.11429/2016 and Civil Suit No.11430/2016 of the Court of Additional

District Judge (ADJ)-01, South-East District, Saket Courts, New Delhi] closing the right of the petitioner / plaintiff to lead further evidence.

4. The counsel for the respondents / defendants appears on advance notice.

5. The counsel for the petitioner / plaintiff withdraws the petitions reserving the liberty to, if remain aggrieved from the final order in the suits, also challenge the orders as impugned in these petitions.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

No costs.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2017

‘gsr’..