

\$~49.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CM(M) No.1024/2017, CM No.33889/2017 (for stay) and CM No.33890/2017 (under Order XXXII Rule 5 of the CPC).

RAJ BHASIN Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajesh Yadav and Mr. J.K. Chawla, Advs.

versus

KRISHAN KUMAR & ORS Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW

ORDER

% **15.09.2017**

CM No.33891/2017 (for exemption) and CM No.33892/2017 (exemption from filing complete Trial Court record).

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.

2. The applications stand disposed of.

CM(M) No.1024/2017, CM No.33889/2017 (for stay) and CM No.33890/2017 (under Order XXXII Rule 5 of the CPC).

3. This petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India impugns the order (dated 12th September, 2017 in CS No.29/16 of the Court of Additional District Judge, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi) closing the evidence of the petitioner / defendant no.3 in the suit.

4. The learned Additional District Judge, in the impugned order, has noted that the suit is 17 years old and has after so closing the evidence of the petitioner / defendant no.3 listed the suit for final arguments on 18th September, 2017. The learned Additional District Judge has further reasoned that as per directions of the National Court Management Systems Committee of the Supreme Court and the State Court Management System

Committee of this Court, suits over ten years old are not to be adjourned and have to be expeditiously disposed of.

5. At this stage, the counsel for the petitioner / defendant no.3 withdraws this petition stating that he will file an application before the Additional District Judge.

6. Dismissed as withdrawn.

No costs.

Dasti under signature of Court Master.

RAJIV SAHAI ENDLAW, J

SEPTEMBER 15, 2017

‘pp’..