

\$~37

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 9395/2017**

**EX HAV/GD BHOOP SINGH** ..... Petitioner  
Through **Mr.A.K. Trivedi, Adv.**

versus

**UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.** ..... Respondents  
Through **Ms.Saroj Bidawat, Adv.**

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HIMA KOHLI**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI**

**ORDER**

% **27.10.2017**

1. The limited grievance raised by the petitioner in the present case is the inaction on the part of the respondent/CRPF in not deciding the representation submitted by him for grant of compassionate allowance with effect from the date of his removal from service.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner had submitted a representation to the respondent as far back as on 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 (Annexure P/1) which has remained pending at their end without any response whatsoever. Hence the present petition.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents assures the court that the representation of the petitioner shall be considered and decided in accordance with law at the earliest.
4. We deem it appropriate to dispose of the present petition at the stage of admission with a direction to the respondents to consider and

decide the representation dated 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2016 submitted by the petitioner for grant of compassionate allowance within eight weeks from today under written intimation to the petitioner.

5. Needless to state that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the order passed, he may take legal recourse as may be available.

6. The petition is disposed of.

**HIMA KOHLI, J**

**REKHA PALLI, J**

**OCTOBER 27, 2017/aa**