

\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7862/2017

VINAYAK PVT. I.T.I

..... Petitioner

Through Mr.Mayank Manish and Mr.Ravi
Kant, Advocates.

versus

DIRECTOR GENERAL OF TRAINING & ANR

..... Respondents

Through Mr.C.M.Goyal, C.G.Sr.C. with
Ms.Meghna Rohatgi, Advocate for
R-1/DGT.

Mr.Sagar Shivam and Mr.Vikas
Chopra, Advocate and Mr.Joginder
Kataria, Officer of QCI for R-1/QCI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE INDERMEET KAUR

ORDER

%

06.09.2017

Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that although he has made an application before respondent no.2 seeking accreditation way back in March, 2016; he has received no response.

On advance notice respondents have put in appearance. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 submits that the accreditation status has been granted to the petitioner on 23.02.2017; the file of the petitioner has been forwarded to respondent no.1. Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.1 points out that respondent no.1 will take steps in accordance with law and pass

appropriate orders qua the case of the petitioner expeditiously.

Petition disposed of in the above terms.

INDERMEET KAUR, J

SEPTEMBER 06, 2017

ndn