

\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ BAIL APPLN. 1667/2017

ARSHAD IQBAL

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Ehtesham Hashmi and Mohd.
Noorullah, Advs.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through Ms. Neelam Sharma, APP

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

20.12.2017

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that statement of the prosecutrix has been recorded on 4th March, 2017 and 4th December, 2017, wherein she has not supported the prosecution version. On 4th March, 2017 prosecutrix categorically stated that nothing had happened with her. In her statement recorded on 4th December, 2017 prosecutrix stated that she did not tell to doctor about any incident. Further that she gave statement to the police under pressure. Learned counsel submits that FSL report states thus:-
“No male DNA profile was generated from the source of Exhibits ‘1j1’, ‘1j2’, ‘1k’, ‘1m’ & ‘1n1’. Hence, no further DNA examination was

conducted.” Petitioner is in custody for more than one year and may be released on bail.

Keeping in mind the totality of the facts and circumstances of this case, petitioner is admitted to bail, subject to his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Bail application is disposed of in the above terms. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

DECEMBER 20, 2017

r.bararia