

\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 2457/2017**

RAVI SHANKAR @ HARISH & ORS Petitioners

Through: Mr. Ankur Sood, Advocate with
Ms. Kirat Randhawa, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Mahajan, ASC for the
State with Mr. Sachin Gupta, Advocate with
SI Jagdeep, P.S. Swaroop Nagar, Delhi.
Respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD GOEL

ORDER

%

30.08.2017

Crl.M.A. 14071/2017 (exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

Application is disposed of.

W.P.(CRL) 2457/2017

1. Notice. Learned ASC for the State, who appears on an advance copy, accepts the notice.
2. Notice to respondent No.2 also. She is present and accepts the notice. She is duly identified by the IO SI Jagdeep.
3. The petitioners have invoked the writ jurisdiction of this court

under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (in short 'Cr.PC') for quashing of the FIR bearing No.371 /2014, registered against them on 08.08.2014 with Police Station Sawroop Nagar, North West District, Delhi, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC, on the complaint of respondent No.2.

4. The marriage of petitioner No.1 with respondent No.2 was solemnized on 29.02.2012 as per Hindu rites and ceremonies. Out of this wedlock, one female child was born.
5. Due to some temperamental differences between the petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2, they could not reconcile with each other. Resultantly, the respondent No.2 left the matrimonial home in the year 2013. She filed a complaint on 10.08.2013 which culminated into the said FIR against the petitioners.
6. The petitioner No.2 is the mother of petitioner No.1.
7. In the month of October, 2014, the respondent No.2 and the petitioner No.1 had amicably resolved and settled all their disputes and differences. They had decided to live together peacefully. The Respondent No.2 and the petitioners No.1 & 2 submit that they now have no dispute with each other.
8. Pursuant to the settlement of the parties, respondent No.2 present in the court states that she had settled the matter with the petitioners voluntarily, without any force or coercion. The petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 submit that since October, 2014 they have been residing together peacefully and do not have any problem or dispute with each other. The respondent

no. 2 submits that in view of the settlement, she does not want to pursue the said FIR. She submits that the said FIR may be quashed.

9. Learned counsel appearing for the State through the IO submits that the charge sheet has already been filed only against petitioners No.1 & 2.
10. Since the parties have amicably settled all their disputes, no purpose would be served in further pursuing the said FIR. Hence, to secure ends of justice, the FIR bearing No.371 /2014, registered on 08.08.2014 with Police Station Sawroop Nagar, North West District, Delhi, under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC and proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.
11. The petition is disposed of accordingly.
12. *Dasti.*

AUGUST 30, 2017

"sandeep"

VINOD GOEL, J.