

*	IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI	
+	<u>C.M. APPL.40679/2017 IN W.P.(C) 2723/2017</u>	
	SHITU NAGPAL	 Petitioner
	versus	
	HIGH COURT OF DELHI	 Respondent
+	<u>C.M. APPL.40697/2017 IN W.P.(C) 2976/2017</u>	
	SAPNA SETHI	 Petitioner
	versus	
	HIGH COURT OF DELHI THROUGH ITS REGISTRAR GENERAL	 Respondent
+	<u>C.M. APPL.40680/2017 IN W.P.(C) 4156/2017</u>	
	VIPIN KUMAR RAI	 Petitioner
	versus	
	HIGH COURT OF DELHI	 Respondent
+	<u>C.M. APPL.40683/2017 IN W.P.(C) 4159/2017</u>	
	SUNIL	 Petitioner
	versus	
	HIGH COURT OF DELHI	 Respondent
+	<u>C.M. APPL.40678/2017 IN W.P.(C) 4167/2017</u>	
	SREERAM L.	 Petitioner
	versus	
	HIGH COURT OF DELHI	 Respondent
+	<u>C.M. APPL.40684/2017 IN W.P.(C) 4260/2017</u>	
	DURGESH NANDAN	 Petitioner
	versus	
	HIGH COURT OF DELHI	 Respondent
+	<u>C.M. APPL.40681/2017 IN W.P.(C) 7004/2017</u>	
	AMIT ARORA	 Petitioner
	versus	
	HIGH COURT OF DELHI THROUGH REGISTRAR GENERAL	 Respondent

Through : Sh. Rajat Aneja and Ms. Nisha,
Advocates, for petitioner/applicant in Item No.23.
Dr. Amit George, Advocate, for petitioner, in Item
Nos. 24 to 26.
Ms. Arunabha Ganguli, Advocate, for petitioner,
in Item No.27.
Sh. Ankur Chhibber, Advocate, for petitioner, in
Item No.28.
Sh. Sanjoy Ghose, Sh. Dhanan Jai Rana and Sh.
Rishabh Jetly, Advocates, for DHC, in Item Nos.
22 to 28.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

ORDER

%

10.11.2017

Learned counsel for the Delhi High Court submits that the follow-up action on the Committee's recommendation pursuant to the order of this Court would be taken; he seeks accommodation for two weeks.

List on 04.12.2017.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

NOVEMBER 10, 2017/AJK