

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

10.

+

W.P.(C) 12149/2016

AMRIT INDUSTIRES

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Nitin Gulati, Advocate.

versus

COMMISSIONER, TRADE & TAXES & ANR. Respondents

Through: Mr. Siddharth Dutta, Advocate with Mr.
R.P. Aggarwal, VATO.

CORAM:

JUSTICE S. MURALIDHAR

JUSTICE CHANDER SHEKHAR

ORDER

19.05.2017

%

1. Learned counsel for the Petitioner states that the refund amount has been issued but not the interest.

2. There is a dispute on when the C-Forms were produced before the VATO. The Petitioner states that the C-Forms were produced before the VATO in December, 2016 whereas the VATO present in Court states that they were submitted only in March, 2017.

3. Be that as it may, the interest that is due to the Petitioner will be paid subject to the final outcome of the appeals preferred before the Supreme Court against the order dated 19th January 2017 passed by this Court in

W.P.(C) No. 10701 of 2016 etc. (*Vizien Organics v. Commissioner, Trade & Taxes*).

4. The petition is disposed of in the above terms.

S. MURALIDHAR, J

CHANDER SHEKHAR, J

MAY 19, 2017/dn