

\$~115.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 48/2017

PAPPU

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneett Singhal, Advocate.

versus

STATE

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Avi Singh, ASC along with SI
Sandeep Kumar, PS-S.B. Dairy, for
the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI

ORDER

06.01.2017

%

The petitioner seeks parole. His application for parole has been declined by the State vide order dated 31.08.2016. He seeks parole so as to re-establish social ties with his family members and the society. The petitioner is convicted of the heinous offence of rape of his own minor daughter. His wife has also stated that his behaviour is not good towards the family and the family has no control over him. Obviously the grant of parole to the petitioner would have an adverse impact on the victim and other family members of the petitioner.

In these circumstances, I am not inclined to grant parole to the petitioner. Dismissed.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

JANUARY 06, 2017

B.S. Rohella