

\$-47 & 48

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 3058/2017**

DEEPAK @ DANY

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Adv.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with SI Vipin Kumar and ASI Krishan
Lal, P.S. Kalyanpuri.
Respondent no.2 in person.

AND

CRL.M.C. 3062/2017

MANOJ KUMAR DHAMEJA

..... Petitioner

Through: Ms. Jyoti Gupta, Adv.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for State
with SI Vipin Kumar and ASI Krishan
Lal, P.S. Kalyanpuri.
Respondent no.2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

%

08.08.2017

By these petitions, petitioners (accused persons) and complainants

(respondent no.2) have prayed for quashing of following two cross-FIRs :-

- a) FIR No. 877/2014 under Sections 323/341 IPC registered at police station Kalyan Puri on the complaint of Manoj Kumar Dhamela (respondent no.2 in Crl. M.C. 3058/2017); and
- b) FIR No. 859/2014 under Section 308 IPC registered at police station Kalyan Puri on the complaint of Deepak @ Dany (respondent no.2 in Crl. M.C. 3062/2017)

It is submitted that petitioners and private respondents are living in the same locality. They were known to each other. A quarrel took place between them on some trivial issue under the influence of liquor which resulted in registration of the above-mentioned FIRs. It is further submitted that both the parties have settled their disputes amicably with the intervention of their common friends and family members and are not willing to pursue their respective FIRs any further. Complainants-Deepak @ Dany and Manoj Kumar Dhamela are present in Court and have been identified by SI Vipin Kumar of police station Kalyan Puari. They submit that they have settled their disputes of their own free will and without any undue force, pressure or coercion.

Keeping in mind that a settlement has been arrived at between the petitioners and respondent no.2 in both the cases, inasmuch as, complainants are not interested in pursuing their respective FIRs, in the interest of justice, both the above-noted FIRs and the consequent proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

Both the petitions are disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous.


A.K. PATHAK, J.

AUGUST 08, 2017/ga