

1# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **BAIL APPLN. 2669/2016**

VEENU @ AJAY

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Sameer Chandra, Mr.
Vineet Jindal and Ms. Alka
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI)

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr. Ashok K. Garg, APP for
the State with ASI Pradeep
Kumar, PS Ali Pur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

04.01.2017

%

1. By the present petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.579/2016 under Sections 323/324/341/506/34 IPC registered at PS Alipur, Delhi wherein Section 307 IPC was later on added in view of the injuries received to Mukesh, the father of the complainant.
2. A status report has been handed over by the learned APP for the State which is taken on record.
3. As per the allegations in the FIR, the complainant Rahul while going on motorcycle was intercepted by Sonu @ Joginder and a quarrel ensued. Later on Anil and the petitioner Veenu @ Ajay both sons of Rohtash also joined Sonu. It is alleged that the petitioner attacked upon the complainant by brick due to which he received injuries. When the complainant called for help his father and brother, that is, Mukesh and Vicky reached the spot.

BAIL APPLN. 2669/2016

Page 1 of 2

Sonu again attacked Vicky with bricks who was able to save himself. In the meantime, the petitioner attacked Mukesh on the right side of the stomach with a sharp edged weapon inflicting injuries on him.

4. The two co-accused, that is, Anil and Sonu have already been granted bail. The petitioner is in custody. The injured was discharged from the hospital after four days. During the judicial custody of the petitioner the parties have settled the matter and a settlement agreement in this regard has also been placed on record.

5. Considering the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, the charge sheet has been finalised and the petitioner is no more required for investigation, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner. It is, therefore, directed that the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond in the sum of ₹25,000/- with one surety of the like amount, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court, further subject to the condition that he will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court concerned.

6. Petition is disposed of.

7. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

JANUARY 04, 2017

‘vn’