

\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 742/2017**

URAVASHEE KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kirti Uppal, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Roshan Saini and Mr. Satyender
Chahar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Shiva Lakshmi. CGSC with Mr.
Ruchi Rai and Mr. Vinayak Bakshi,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

%

27.01.2017

1. Although, I was inclined to impose substantial costs on the petitioner for insisting of listing of this writ petition in this Court in spite of order passed by this Court dated 2.12.2016 in W.P(C) No. 11364/2016 titled as ***A.K.Srivastava & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Anr.*** read with penultimate para of the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of ***L. Chandra Kumar Vs. Union of India & Ors. (1997) 3 SCC 261***, since, learned senior counsel for the petitioner, on instructions states that this petition be allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to approach Central Administrative Tribunal (CAT), hence I am not imposing costs but putting the counsel to notice that

filing of affidavit in court is a very solemn act and if such solemn acts are incorrectly taken the courts can take a very strict view of the matter.

2. The writ petition is allowed to be withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

JANUARY 27, 2017

ib