

21# \$~

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 4890/2016

RAVI & ORS

..... Petitioners

Represented by: Mr. Prateek Prabhakar,
Advocate with petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Represented by: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for the
State with Inspector Uma Datt,
PS Mangol Puri.
Respondent No. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

16.03.2017

%

By the present petition the petitioners seek quashing of FIR No. 515/2013 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Mangol Puri, Delhi on the complaint of Respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto on the ground that the parties have settled the matter.

Learned APP for the State informs that in the memo of parties the name of former mother-in-law of the respondent No.2 has been mentioned as Sangita Bai Chawla though in the FIR she is named as Sunita and name of her husband has also been wrongly mentioned. Learned APP for the State on instructions further submits that the four petitioners before this Court are the only accused and the respondent No. 2 the only complainant/victim in the above noted FIR.

CRL.M.C. 4890/2016

Page 1 of 3

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the actual name of the petitioner No.2 is Sangita Bai Chawla however, to complete the records he would amend the memo of parties and file it in the course of the day.

The complainant/Respondent No. 2 Ms. Neeru, who is present in Court and is identified by the Investigating Officer states that she has settled the matter with the petitioners. In terms of the settlement marriage between the Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2/complainant has been dissolved by a decree of divorce by mutual consent. As full and final settlement of all the claims, that is, maintenance, streedhan and alimony etc. of the Respondent No. 2, the Petitioner No.1 has paid a sum of ₹1 lakhs to the respondent No.2 and the respondent No.2 now has no claims whatsoever remaining against the petitioners. She states that she does not want to pursue the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Petitioners who are present in Court and are identified by learned counsel affirm the statement of respondent No.2.

In view of the fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, volition and without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served in continuance of the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

Consequently, FIR No. 515/2013 under Sections 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS Mangol Puri, Delhi and proceedings pursuant thereto are hereby quashed.

Parties have signed this order sheet in acknowledgment of their statements made before this Court.

The petition is disposed of. Order dasti.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

MARCH 16, 2017
‘vn’