

\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 20/2017 & CM APPL. 5102/2017

DAYANAND KATARIA Appellant
Through: Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. Anshul Chowdhary and Mr. Mohit Aneja,
Advocates

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ANR Respondents
Through: Mr. Dhanesh Relan, Advocate with
Ms. Akshita Manocha and Mr. Arush Chickersal,
Advocates for R-1/DDA

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.K. GAUBA

ORDER
% **26.09.2017**

This Court is of the opinion that there is no merit in the appeal, given the fact that the appellant was the third party to the disciplinary authority's proceedings.

The Court has been informed that the vigilance enquiry conducted by the disciplinary authority ended on 03.10.2016 and 17.11.2016 pending with the Chief Vigilance Commissioner. A copy of these have been placed on record and also made available to the appellant. Since these have been brought on record during the course of the appeal, it is open to the appellant to make an appropriate

representation to the Chief Vigilance Commissioner urging such action as ethics warranted.

However, the appeal is dismissed.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J

R.K. GAUBA, J

SEPTEMBER 26, 2017

nn