

\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 565/2017, Crl. M.A. Nos. 12357-58/2017 & Crl. M.B.
No. 1414/2017

DILEEP KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through : Mr. Vikram Patralekh and Mr. Sushil
Kumar Singh, Advs.

versus

STATE & ANR

..... Respondents

Through : Ms. Manjeet Arya, APP for the State
Mr. T.R. Mittal, Director of
respondent no. 2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATHAK

ORDER

% **02.08.2017**

The Director of respondent no. 2 (complainant) submits that matter has been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2, inasmuch as settled amount has already been paid by the petitioner vide a demand draft favouring respondent no. 2, that is, Ramaria Associates Pvt. Ltd. Photocopies of the receipt and demand draft have been placed on record as Annexure P-5 (collectively). Respondent no. 2 has no objection in case offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 ("the Act", for short) is compounded. In view of the settlement arrived at between

the petitioner and respondent no. 2, offence under Section 138 of the Act is permitted to be compounded. Petitioner is acquitted.

Petition is disposed of in the above terms. Miscellaneous applications are disposed of as infructuous. Dasti.

A.K. PATHAK, J.

AUGUST 02, 2017
rb