

\$~1

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7100/2017

JITENDER SINGH YADAV

..... Petitioner

Through Mr. Nitin Kumar Gupta, Advocate.

versus

STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION AND ORS

..... Respondents

Through Ms. Shiva Lakshmi, CGSC and Ms.
Ruchir Ranjan Rai, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

28.08.2017

%

Counsel for the respondents, on instructions, states that in view of the prayer made in the writ petition, the Central Administrative Tribunal would have jurisdiction to decide the present writ petition. We take the statement made by the counsel for the respondents on record and observe that the jurisdiction of the Central Administrative Tribunal would not be questioned by the respondents. Counsel for the petitioner, in view of the statement made, seeks liberty to withdraw the present writ petition to approach the Central Administrative Tribunal by way of original application.

Dasti under signature of the Court Master.

SANJIV KHANNA, J.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J.

AUGUST 28, 2017

MR