

\$~

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 11759/2016 & CM APPL. 46345/2016

MEENU BEGUM AND ORS Petitioners

Through None

versus

DDA AND ORS

Through

..... Respondents

Mr. Pawan Mathur, Standing Counsel
for DDA.Ms. Niharika, Proxy Counsel for Mr.
Anupam Srivastava, ASC for R-4&5.Ms. Nitin Jain, Proxy Counsel for
Mr. Parvinder Chauhan, Standing
Counsel for DUSIB.Mr. Jitendra Kumar Tripathi,
Advocate for UOI.**CORAM:****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANMOHAN****ORDER**

%

07.02.2017

Despite a pass over, none is present for the petitioners. In fact, the writ petition has not been amended even though learned counsel for the petitioner had made a statement to the said effect.

Consequently, present writ petition and application are dismissed in default and on account of non-prosecution.

MANMOHAN, J**FEBRUARY 07, 2017**

rn