

\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA 661/2017

KRISHAN LAL

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajeev K. Virmani, Senior
Advocate with Mr. Pramod Ahuja,
Advocate, Mr. Rishabh, Advocate and
Ms. Amita Sachdeva, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, Advocate for
respondent No.2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VALMIKI J. MEHTA

ORDER

% **15.03.2018**

1. Learned senior counsel for the appellant, on instructions from the appellant, states that judgment passed by this Court on 8.8.2017 is not to be challenged by the appellant any further. It is also stated that appellant has handed over possession of the suit premises to the respondents. Accordingly it is agreed by the parties that costs imposed of Rs.7 crores on the appellant/plaintiff by the trial court in terms of the impugned judgment is set aside noting that costs imposed upon Delhi Development Authority (DDA) by the self same judgment have also otherwise been set aside by the order dated 3.11.2017 in RFA No.918/2017.

RFA No.661/2017

page 1 of 2

2. This order is without prejudice to the rights of the respondent no.2 with respect to claiming mesne profits, of course in accordance with law, and in which proceedings appellant will always be entitled to plead all defences of facts and law.

VALMIKI J. MEHTA, J

MARCH 15, 2018

Ne