

\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 2993/2017**

LAKHMI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Habibur Rahman, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kumar, APP for the State with
ASI Rajvir Singh, PS-Amar Colony.
Mr. Raghav Chadha, Advocate for R-
2/BSES with Mr. Pradeep Baisoya, AR of
complainant company.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL

ORDER

%

01.09.2017

The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners seeking quashing of FIR No. 1189/2015 punishable under Section 135 of Indian Electricity Act registered at Police Station – Amar Colony, New Delhi, on the complaint of respondent No.2 and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have already settled the matter with the BSES and paid a sum of Rs.71,000/- and seek the quashing of the aforesaid FIR.

Counsel for the parties submits that they have no objection if the present FIR is quashed as the petitioner has cleared the theft bill amount of Rs.71,000/-.

Statements of counsel for the petitioner and authorised representative of the respondent No. 2/BSES and have been recorded separately.

In view of the aforesaid statements, the FIR No. 1189/2015 punishable under Section 135 of Indian Electricity Act registered at Police Station – Amar Colony, New Delhi and all the proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

The petitioner is, however, burdened with costs of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand Only) to be deposited with the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee. The cost has been paid and receipt has been filed by the petitioner.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

SANGITA DHINGRA SEHGAL, J

SEPTEMBER 01, 2017

gr