

\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO(OS) (COMM) 151/2017 & CM APPL.26640-41/2017**

VIKAS ARORA

..... Appellant

Through : Mr.Angad Mehta with Mr.Rajat Sehgal,
Mr.Tapan and Mr.Satyaveer Singh,
Advocates.

versus

MAHENDRA SINGH DHONI & ORS

..... Respondents

Through : None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. RAVINDRA BHAT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.P.GARG

ORDER

%

28.07.2017

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the impugned order does not grant ex-parte relief and is causing prejudice to the appellant. This Court is of the opinion that the impugned order cannot be scrutinized in an appeal.

In the circumstances, learned counsel for the appellant seeks liberty to withdraw the appeal and requests that the next date of hearing of before the Single Judge may be pre-poned. It is open to the appellant to make that request before the learned Single Judge with particulars of fresh facts as have emerged, in addition.

In case, such an application is made, the learned Single Judge may consider it, having regard to the urgency and Board position.

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. All pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

S. RAVINDRA BHAT, J.

S.P.GARG, J.

JULY 28, 2017/sa